BEFORE THE

UN	ITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
	FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION
F	RA WAIVER PETITION DOCKET No. FRA 2008-0032

Brake System Safety Standards for Freight and Other Non-passenger Trains Railroad
Freight Car
Safety Standards and Railroad Safety Appliance Standards
(49 C.F.R. Part 232)

July, 2009

STATEMENT OF RICHARD A. JOHNSON, GENERAL PRESIDENT, BROTHERHOOD RAILWAY CARMEN DIVISION TRANSPORTATION•COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL UNION

3 Research Place Rockville, Maryland 20850

I. Introduction.

My name is Richard A. Johnson. I am the General President, Brotherhood Railway Carmen Division, Transportation•Communications International Union (BRC) and an International Vice President of the Transportation•Communications International Union (TCU). I have been a carman for 38 years, beginning in 1971 on the former Milwaukee Road at Bensonville, Illinois, and I am personally familiar with the Federal Railroad Administration's (FRA) regulations that set forth safety appliance standards for rail equipment.

BRC appreciates this opportunity to participate in the regulatory process, and brings to that process an enormous wealth of experience and practical knowledge in the area of railroad safety. Our experience has taught us that full compliance with the Federal Railroad Administration's (FRA) safety regulations is the surest way to improve railroad safety and, to that end, the BRC will address the safety and other issues raised by this petition for waiver.

The BNSF Railway (BNSF) seeks a waiver of compliance from certain provisions of 49 CFR Part 232, Brake System Safety Standards for Freight and Other Non-Passenger Trains and Equipment. Specifically, BNSF is seeking relief from the requirements for performing the single car air brake test as prescribed in subpart 232.305(b)(2). For the reasons provided below, BRC requests FRA deny the BNSF's petition for waiver.

II. BNSF's petition should be denied.

BNSF's petition should be denied. BNSF seeks waiver from Part 232.305(b)(2) which provides that a carrier must perform a single car air brake test on a car when "a car

is on a shop or repair track, as defined in Part 232.303(a), for any reason and has not received a single car air brake test within the previous 12-month period."

In 1998, FRA, the carriers and the unions took part in a rulemaking process which codified the various provisions of the American Association of Railroads (AAR) Field Manual of Interchange Rules. Part 232.305(b)(2) is the codified version of AAR Interchange Rule 3 which became effective in 2001.

Conducting single car air brake tests at least once every 12 months on shop or repair tracks is an integral part of the safe operation of trains. Among other things, these tests check for inoperative air brakes and evaluate the sensitivity of the valves. Tests and evaluations such as these increase the performance of the cars and prevent unintended emergency application of the brakes.

Single car airbrake tests should be performed in the best possible environment. Under Part 232.303(b)(2), these tests are performed in the repair shop or on the repair track. The repair shop and the repair track provide safer conditions for carmen inspectors to perform the tests which include, but are not limited to, audible or visual warnings of movement of equipment on adjacent track. These same conditions are not present in the yard where the inspectors would only have Blue Signal protection making movement of equipment on adjoining tracks more dangerous.

In the same 1998 rulemaking process mentioned above, FRA, the carriers and the unions, also considered how a carrier could modify single car air brake testing procedures. The result of this process was Part 232.307(a) which, like Part 232.303(b)(2), also became effective in 2001. Part 232.3 07(a) places three (3) requirements on a carrier in order to modify its single car airbrake test procedures. As illustrated below, BNSF has

not followed the special approval method provided in Part 232.307(a) and therefore BRC requests FRA deny BNSF's petition for waiver.

First, Part 232.307(a)(2) requires that BNSF explain its proposed modification in detail. BNSF has not provided any specific details in its petition for waiver. Surely BNSF should have at least indicated the number of cars this proposal would apply to and what the procedures will be for inspectors making repairs in-train or in the yard. Details such as these must be provided by BNSF in order for FRA to evaluate BNSF's proposal under Part 232.307(a)(2).

Second, Part 232.307(a)(3) requires that BNSF also provide "[a]ppropriate data or analysis, or both, for FRA to consider in determining whether the modification will provide at least an equivalent level of safety." BNSF has failed to provide any data or analysis on which to base its proposed modification of single car air brake tests. Such data or analysis can only be acquired through a joint study between BNSF, FRA and BRC that looks for any possible adverse consequence that may occur as a result of the waiver and how these consequences will be identified and corrected. Without such data or analysis, BNSF cannot meet its burden under Part 232.307(a)(3).

In the absence of data or analysis showing that its proposal will provide at least the equivalent levels of safety as part 232.305(b)(2), BNSF simply offers general statements regarding the safety of its proposal. First, BNSF claims that safety will be increased under its proposal. BRC fails to see the relevance of this statement. In order to support this claim, BNSF would have to provide some specific evidence, which it has not.

Finally, Part 232.307(a)(4) additionally requires that BNSF file a "statement affirming that the railroad industry has served a copy of the request on the designated representatives of the employees responsible for the equipment's operation, inspection, testing, and maintenance under this part, together with a list of the names and addresses of the persons served." To our knowledge, the information discussed in Part 232.307(a)(4) has not been included in BNSF's petition for waiver, and BRC has not received any further information from BNSF as well.

Finally, it should be noted that in 2007 the UP made a similar petition for waiver, to eliminate requirement of single car test when wheels are replaced in train yards. I responded in opposition to that waiver request, and their petition was denied. FRA-2007-28454.

Based on the information provided above, BNSF has not met its burden under Part 232.307(a) to modify its current single car testing procedures. BNSF's attempt to bypass the procedures in Part 232.307(a) is simply for its own convenience. If FRA grants this petition for waiver, it would set a precedent for other carriers to similarly bypass the specific procedures that apply to the modification of single car air brake tests required by Part 232.307(a) effectively nullifying the regulation that FRA, the carrier and the unions partnered to create.

III. Conclusion.

The Brotherhood Railway Carmen always welcomes the opportunity to participate in the regulatory process. Safety issues addressed in this process are among the primary concerns to the Carmen. In accordance with our commitment to maintaining

safety on the nation's railroads, the BRC suggests that FRA deny the BNSF petition for waiver.